

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Logic-Independent Premise Selection for Automated Theorem Proving

Eugen Kuksa

AITP 2017 Obergurgl



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Theoretical Foundation: Entailment Relations
- 3. Case Study
- 4. There's More: Signatures and Logic Translations.
- 5. Conclusion

OTTO VON GUERICKE UNIVERSITÄT MAGDEBURG COMPUTER SCIENCE

29 March 2017 Logic-Independent Premise Selection | 3

Introduction



Automated Theorem Proving

- A theorem proving problem consists of Axioms and a conjecture.
- An automated theorem prover (ATP) runs an algorithm to find a proof.
- A typical ATP is efficient on small problems.
- Large problems lead to combinatorial explosion.
 - ATP reach their time or memory limit.
 - Return with no result.



Example

- Suggested Upper Merged Ontology (SUMO)
- Formalised in FOF and THF in the TPTP library
- Problems with (tens of) thousands of axioms
- Pick *CSR119^3* (THF): ≈5000 Axioms
- Higher-Order Prover Leo-II runs into a timeout (60 seconds)



Solution: Premise Selection

- Reduce the set of axioms for the proving task
- Proving time decreases or proving even becomes possible at all
- The SUMO example CSR119³ passes in less than a second
- The axiom set was reduced to 390 out of over 5000 axioms by SInE



Logic Dependence

Problem:

- There are many premise selection algorithms
- Implemented only for FOF or some higher order logics
- ... even though some are *described* logic-independently

Solution:

- Lift the algorithms to logic-independence
- Run them in an abstract notion of 'logic'
- Transfer results to the concrete logic



Tool Support

Problem:

Many provers operate on one logic/syntax only

- FaCT, Pellet: Description logic with OWL
- Darwin, E-Prover, Geo-III, SPASS, Vampire: First-order logic with TPTP/FOF
- Leo-II, Satallax, Isabelle: Higher-order logic with TPTP/THF
- Isabelle/HOL's own logic

• . . .

Solution:

- Lift the algorithms to logic-independence
- Run them in an abstract notion of 'logic'
- Transfer results to the concrete logic



FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Theoretical Foundation: Entailment Relations



Entailment relation

An entailment relation with symbols $(\mathrm{Sen},\mathrm{Sym}\vdash,\mathrm{symbols})$ consists of

- $\bullet\,$ A set of sentences ${\rm Sen}\,$
- $\bullet\,$ A set of symbols ${\rm Sym}\,$
- A relation $\vdash \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Sen}) \times \operatorname{Sen}$ which is
 - reflexive (Axioms are theorems)
 - transitive (We may use lemmas)
 - monotonic (We may use premise selection)
- A function $\mathrm{symbols}:\mathrm{Sen}\to\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sym})$ giving the symbols that occur in a sentence

OTTO VON GUERICKE UNIVERSITÄT MAGDEBURG FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

29 March 2017 Logic-Independent Premise Selection | 11

Case Study



Implementation: Ontohub

- Web application: https://ontohub.org
- Version controlled repository for ontologies/specifications/theories
- Version control (git)
- Integrated editor for small files
- Analyses theories
- Has interfaces with ATPs
- Back-end: Hets



Implementation: Ontohub cont'd

- Supports different logics
 - Propositional Logic
 - OWL
 - FOL / TPTP-FOF
 - FOL + Induction
 - CASL
 - Modal Logic
 - Common Logic
 - HOL / TPTP-THF
 - Isabelle/HOL
 - ...
- Brings tool support
 - FaCT, Pellet
 - CVC4, Darwin, E-Prover, Geo-III, SPASS, Vampire
 - Leo-II, Satallax, Isabelle
 - . . .



Premise Selection: The Algorithm 'SInE'

- Developed by Kryštof Hoder
- Fully automatic with a few user-defined parameters
- Operates on syntax
- Selects recursively the axioms that share a symbol with the conjecture or an already selected axiom
- The shared symbol that allows to select an axiom must hold more conditions
- Selection stops after n recursion steps
- We implemented SInE in Ontohub



Data Flow





Ontohub Repositories					
Do you want to support the development of Or	tohub by testing? Visit test.onto	hub.org for more info	rmation		
Repositories					
107 repositories currently available			Create Repository	Eugen's Repositories	
There is also a complete list of repository clone	-urls	_		0 Market	
Featured Repositories				Eugens Test 1	
☆ EBFO This repository contains an axiomatiza collaboration between Barry Smith and Fabian Neuh	tion of Basic Formal Ontology (BFO) 2 aus. NOTE: At this stage the axiomatiz	.0. This axiomatization is cation is incomplete and	the result of a under change.	eugens-test-5 Hets-Lib eugens-test-3	
☆ 📋 Bioportal Mirror of http://bioportal.bioontol	ogy.org/ with all ontologies below 5 m	egabytes.		eugens-test-4 eugens-test-6	
☆ FOIS Ontology Competition The aim of their ontologies publicly available and (2) to subject http://fois2014.int.ules.br/p/call-for-ontology-compe	the FCIS 2014 ontology competition is hern to evaluation according to a set o tition.html	i (1) to encourage ontoio If pre-determined criteria	gy authors to make I. See	AppliedOntologyOntohubPaper OntohubAOpaperExamples eugens-test2-tptp eugens-test3-tptp eugens-test3-tptp eugens-test2-tptp	

Common Repositories

AdHoc Domain-specific ontology to detect messages on key	personnel turnover in the ad hoc publicity of public companies.
AlgebraBlending	
Amaigams Music Initial theories for testing chord blending	in CASL
Amalgams OWL examples owl ontologies for amalgams	
AppliedOntologyOntohubPaper These are examples the heterogeneous ontologies"	t are used in the paper 'Ontohub A semantic repository for
a bfo-test	
Bioportal_mappings Mappings from Bioportal	
BOC2016 Ontology Building Competition 2016 at Technical Asson Pmf. Addian Groza http://ice.cov.utclui.m/-addian	University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Intelligent Systems Group

© 2012-2015 Universität Magdeburg and Universität Bremen About Sources

Open Ontology Repository (OOR) Initiative



	Chooges Lugios mappings more - Help			Lugen Kuksa -
o you want to support the development of Onto	hub by testing? Visit test.ontohub.org for more information			
arundlagen				
	Ultrane Californi			
Overview Ontologies Pile browser	nistory Setungs			
File browser				
In this page, you have direct access to the file syn Below is the list of files in the current directory, in ontologies or not. In order to find a particular file, system.	stem where the repository is stored. dependent of whether they contain you can navigate through the file			
grundlagen / bushy-by		Create Subdirectory	Upload file	History of this directory
Lalithet	D Lalithto			
Land th	D Land thi.o			
• Leoniff				
Le changef p	Le_changef_p.p			
Leuniut	🗅 LeLinj_t1.p			
• Lejsf	D Lejstp			
Le_isst1	D Le_isst1.p			
Ce_ite_t14	D Le_ite_t14.p			
Lo_ite_14	Le_ite_t4.p			
Le_notis_th5	Le_notis_th5.p			
Le_refis	C Leuris.p			
	Pi Le st emptylip			
Le_st_emptyi	B			
Le_st_emptyi Le_st_eq_10_t7	Le_st_eq_10_17.p			
Lo_st_eq_10_t7 Lo_st_eq_11_t4	C Lo.st.eq.10.57.p C Lo.st.eq.11.14.p			





Open Ontology Repository (OOR) Initiative



WyOntohub Pepositories Ontologies Logics Mappings More - Help		
Do you want to support the development of Ontohub by testing? Visit test.ontohub.org for more information		
SUMO SINE Test Overview Ontologies File browser History Settings		
Sumo_problem TPTP ottog adhed in the file auto-other technum_problem; /sumo-site-technum_problem	download Edit Delete	
Content Comments Metadata Versions Graphs Mappings Functions (7078) Predicates (707) TypeConstants (1) Axioms (7078) Theorems (1)		
1 2 3 4 5 Nost- Last- 25 • Perpage		
acquaintance_THFTYPE_J		
angleOIFigure_THIFTYPE_i		
angularMeasure_THFTYPE_I		
IAmphibian_THFTYPE_I		
brother_THFTYPE_I		
citizen_THFTYPE_I		
IAmu_THFTYPE_i		
dv_THFTYPE_III		
OCCUMENTATION_INFETYPE_I		
ector_inititititi		
NUMBER OF THE ADDRESS	0.000	
848338757 Poo Institute About Open	Ontology Hepository (OOR) Initiative	



🔆 Ontoh	UD Hepositones	Ontologies Logics I		Thip	Eugen Kukaal -
	ns-test-tpt	p r History Settings			
Ontology defined in the	GT001+1 T the file /eugens-test-tptp/4GT v/AGT001+1	PTP (001+1.p			download Edit Delete
Content C	Comments Metadata	Versions Graphs Ma	appings		
Constants 200	Functors () Pre	edicates 10 Axioms	Theorems 🚺		
query_1	THM query_1 ecture, (accept_team(country	vamedicalorganization, countrya	civilorganization, tow	ia, n6⊞.	
query_1 Definition of a fol(query_1, conje Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number	THM query_1 acture, (accept_team(country ts rem Date	vamedicalorganization, countrya Time taken	scivilorganization, tow	ıa, n6∰. Proof Status	Evaluation State
Query_1 Definition of of fof(query_1, conje Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number 9	THM query_1 schure, (accept_team(country the rem Date a month ago	yamedicalorganization, countrya Time taken 66 seconds	Prover Isabelle	ns, n5()). Proof Status	Evaluation State
query_1 Definition of a for(query_1, conje Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number 9 8	THM upury_1 texture, (accept_team(country term Date a month ago a month ago	Time taken 66 seconds 0 seconds	Prover Isabelle Leo-II	Proof Status	Evaluation State
Query_1 Definition of of fot(query_1, conje Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number 9 8 7	THM upery_1 team(country team) Date a month ago	Time taken 66 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds	Prover Isabelle Leo-II CVC4	Proof Status Trad	Evaluation State exem (a month ago) exem (a month ago) exem (a month ago)
query_1 Definition of of fof(query_1, conje Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number 9 8 7 6	THM query_1 ecture, (accept_team(country ts Date a month ago a month ago a month ago	Time taken 66 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 1 seconds	Prover Isabello Leo-II CVC4 Geo-III	۲۹۵, ۸۵)(). Proof Status THM THM THM THM UNK	Evaluation State even (a month ago) even (a month ago) even (a month ago) even (a month ago)
Prove this theo Number 9 8 7 6 5	THM query_1 query_1 secture, (accept_team(country ts Date a month ago b a month ag	Time taken 66 seconds 0 seconds 1 seconds 1 seconds 0 seconds	Prover Isabella Leo-II CVC4 Geo-III Darwin	na, nôj(). Proof Status Tele Tele UNK Tele	Evaluation State Evaluation State (a month ago) Evaluation (a month ago) Evaluation (a month ago) Evaluation (a month ago) Evaluation (a month ago)
QUETY_1 Definition of a fot(query_1, comp Proof Attemp Prove this theo Number 9 8 7 6 5 4	THM sources and a month ago a month ago a month ago a a mo	Time taken 66 aconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 1 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds	Prover Isabelle Leo-II CVC4 Geo-III Darwin EProver	Proof Status Trois Trois Trais Trais Trais Trais Trais	Evaluation State Sara (a month ago) Sara (a month ago) Sara (a month ago) Sara (a month ago) Sara (a month ago)
QUERY_1 Definition of the foreignees, 1, conjector of foreignees, 1, conjector of the foreignees, 1, conjector of Attemps Prove this theory Proves this theory Number 9 8 7 6 5 4 3	ttilliguery_1 query_1 toteves, lesenjt.eemicountry men bate a month ago a month ago a month ago a a month ago a a month ago a a month ago	Time taken 66 seconds 0 seconds 1 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds 0 seconds	Prover Isabelle Leo-II CVC4 Geo-III Darwin EProvar Satallex	Proof Status Tata Tata Tata Tata Tata Tata Tata Tat	Evaluation State and (a month ago)
query_1 The second	THM J query_1 query_1 term Date a month ago a month ago a month ago a month ago a month ago a a month ago a a month ago a a month ago a a month ago	Time taken Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds Diesconds	Prover Isabello Leo-II CVC4 Geo-III Deo-III Deo-III Sataliax SPASS	۲۹, ۴۵(). ۲۹۵۰ Status ۲۹۵۰ - ۲۹۵۰ - ۲۹۵۰ - ۲۹۵۰ - ۲۹۵۰ - ۲۹۵۰ -	Evaluation State form (a month ago)

Open Ontology Repository (OOR) Initiative



💮 Öntohub	Repositories Ontologies Logics Mappings More - Help	Eugen Kuksa -
Do you want to support	the development of Ontohub by testing? Visit test.ontohub.org for more information	
Attempt	o prove theorem query_1	
Definition		
Name Text		
query_1 fot(que	y_1, conjecture, (accept_team(countryamedicalorganization, countryacivilorganization, towna, n6)).	
Proving Co	nfiguration	
Prove Timec Axiom Selection Meth	Compare Prove Prove Darwin Habelle Configuration Compared Provements Configuration Compared Pro	Geo-III
SInE Axiom Selec	ion	
* Commonne thresh	e d Greater values result in selecting more axioms containing uncommon symbols.	
* Depth lin	It -1 Number of SinE selection iterations. Greater values result in selecting more axioms. The value '-1' is interpreted as infinity.	
* Toleran	e 1.0 Greater values result in selecting more axioms.	
Prove		
@ 2012-2015 Univers	Bit Magdeburg and Universität Bremen About Sources Open Ontolo	gy Repository (OOR) Initiative



Experiments: Setup

We applied our implementation of SInE to

- All 2078 problems of the MPTP2078 (FOF)
- A subset (501 problems) of a formalisation into THF0 of the Automath formalization of Landau's 'Grundlagen der Analysis'



Results: FOF





Results: THF0





There's More: Signatures and Logic Translations.



Special handling of THF

Problem:

- THF (among other logics) is typed
- Symbols must be declared with a formula before their first use
- Such 'signature-defining delarations' must not be removed

Solution:

• Preserve the needed 'signature-defining declarations' after the premise selection



Theoretical Foundation: Entailment Systems

An entailment system with symbols $(\mathrm{Sign}, \mathrm{Sen}, \mathrm{Sym}, \vdash, \mathrm{symbols})$ consists of

- a category Sign of signatures and signature morphisms
- a functor $\mathrm{Sen}:\mathrm{Sign}\to\mathrm{Set}$ giving the set of sentences over a signature
- a faithful functor $\mathrm{Sym}:\mathrm{Sign}\to\mathrm{Set}$ giving the set of symbols of a signature
- for each $\Sigma \in |Sign|$ a relation $\vdash_{\Sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Sen(\Sigma)) \times Sen(\Sigma)$ which
 - is reflexive, transitive, monotonic
 - and satisfies ⊢-translation: Given a signature morphism
 σ: Σ₁ → Σ₂, we have Γ ⊢_{Σ1} φ ⇒ Sen(σ)(Γ) ⊢_{Σ2} Sen(σ)(φ)
- a natural transformation $symbols:Sen \to \mathcal{P} \circ Sym$ giving the symbols of a sentence



Tool Support for Logics

Problem:

- Some logics don't have direct tool support, e.g. CASL, Common Logic, modal logic
- People need to formalise the theory in tool-supported logics
- ... or cannot use ATP (with premise selection)

Solution:

- Run premise selection in the desired logic
- Translate the modified theory to logic with tool support
- Run the prover on the translation



Theoretical Foundation: Entailment relation morphism

An entailment relation morphism

 $\alpha: (\operatorname{Sen}_S, \operatorname{Sym}_S, \vdash_S, \operatorname{symbols}_S) \to (\operatorname{Sen}_T, \operatorname{Sym}_T, \vdash_T, \operatorname{symbols}_T)$

is a function $\alpha : \operatorname{Sen}_S \to \operatorname{Sen}_T$ such that

for all $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Sen}_S, \varphi \in \operatorname{Sen}_S : \Gamma \vdash_S \varphi$ implies $\alpha(\Gamma) \vdash_T \alpha(\varphi)$

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is called conservative if

for all $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Sen}_S, \varphi \in \operatorname{Sen}_S : \Gamma \vdash_S \varphi$ if and only if $\alpha(\Gamma) \vdash_T \alpha(\varphi)$



Theoretical Foundation: Theoroidal entailment relation morphism

A conservative theoroidal entailment relation morphism (α, Δ) contains

- a function $\alpha : (Sen_S, Sym_S, \vdash_S, symbols_S) \to (Sen_T, Sym_T, \vdash_T, symbols_T)$
- a base set of sentences $\Delta \subseteq \operatorname{Sen}_T$

that hold

for all $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Sen}_S, \varphi \in \operatorname{Sen}_S : \Gamma \vdash_S \varphi$ if and only if $\Delta \cup \alpha(\Gamma) \vdash_T \alpha(\varphi)$



Hets

- Evaluation component of Ontohub
- Actually analyses theories
- Translates theories
- Interfaces with provers



FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

Data Flow



OTTO VON GUERICKE UNIVERSITÄT MAGDEBURG COMPUTER SCIENCE

29 March 2017 Logic-Independent Premise Selection | 33

Conclusion



Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

- Premise selection improves proving performance significantly
- Entailment relation morphisms allow its use with different logics
- And different reasoning tools
- SInE in Ontohub is only a proof of concept

Future Work

- Develop more premise selection algorithms and deploy them to Ontohub
- Learn from found proofs and disproofs (after premise selection)
- Use modular structure (signature morphisms)

OTTO VON GUERICKE UNIVERSITÄT MAGDEBURG

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE 29 March 2017 Logic-Independent Premise Selection | 35

Thank you for listening!

Questions?