#### Tactic Learning for Coq

#### Lasse Blaauwbroek, Josef Urban

Czech Institute for Informatics, Robotics and Cybernetics Czech Technical University in Prague

April 8, 2019

Tactic-level automation:

#### Idea: Learn from human-written proof scripts

#### Try to match proof states with the right tactic

Advantage: We can make use of custom made, domain specific tactics written by clever humans

### ▷ Proof Recording

- ▷ Proof Recording
- ▷ Tactic Prediction

- ▷ Proof Recording
- ▷ Tactic Prediction
- ▷ Proof Search

- ▷ Proof Recording
- ▷ Tactic Prediction
- $\triangleright$  Proof Search
- $\triangleright$  Proof Reconstruction

- ▷ User Friendly
- ▷ Installation Friendly
- ▷ Integration Friendly▷ Maintenance Friendly

User Friendly Online learning, minimal configuration, works everywhere
 Installation Friendly
 Integration Friendly
 Maintenance Friendly

▷ User Friendly Online learning, minimal configuration, works everywhere
 ▷ Installation Friendly
 ▷ Integration Friendly
 ▷ Maintenance Friendly

▷ Maintenance Friendly

▷ User Friendly Online learning, minimal configuration, works everywhere
 ▷ Installation Friendly No external dependencies
 ▷ Integration Friendly Coq plugin, with minor modifications to Coq

User Friendly Online learning, minimal configuration, works everywhere
 Installation Friendly No external dependencies
 Integration Friendly Cog plugin with minor modifications to Cog

▷ Integration Friendly
 ▷ Maintenance Friendly

Coq plugin, with minor modifications to Coq Ideally: eventual integration into Coq codebase

- ▷ User Friendly Online learning, minimal configuration, works everywhere ▷ Installation Friendly No external dependencies ▷ Integration Friendly Cog plugin, with minor modifications to Cog
- ▷ Maintenance Friendly

Ideally: eventual integration into Cog codebase

Downside: We potentially sacrifice the use of some awesome Machine Learning algorithms

All components function!

All components function! Kind off...

#### All components function! Kind off ....

Demo time

- ▷ Proof Recording
- ▷ Tactic Prediction
- $\triangleright$  Proof Search
- $\triangleright$  Proof Reconstruction

#### Ltac, Mtac, SSreflect, ML-tactics, Ltac 2.0?

### Ltac, Mtac, SSreflect, ML-tactics, Ltac 2.0?

 $\downarrow$ 

### Backtracking proof monad

### Ltac, Mtac, SSreflect, ML-tactics, Ltac 2.0?

 $\downarrow$ 

### Backtracking proof monad

Seems impossible: How do we introspect the monad?

### Ltac, Mtac, SSreflect, ML-tactics, Ltac 2.0?

 $\downarrow$ 

### Backtracking proof monad

Seems impossible: How do we introspect the monad?

For now, only Ltac recording

```
Definition left_pad_spec c s n :
 \{s' \mid (forall i, i < n - length s -> get i s' = Some c)
     /\ (forall i, get i s = get (i + (n - length s)) s')}.
Proof.
exists (left_pad c s n). unfold left_pad.
split.
- intros; rewrite <- append_correct1;</pre>
  [ rewrite cycle_get
  rewrite cycle_length]; auto.
- intros; etransitivity;
  [ apply append_correct2
  rewrite cycle_length; auto].
Qed.
```

Definition left\_pad\_spec c s n :

{s' | (forall i, i < n - length s -> get i s' = Some c)

/\ (forall i, get i s = get (i + (n - length s)) s')}.

Proof.

record (exists (left\_pad c s n)). record (unfold left\_pad). record (split).

- record (intros); record (rewrite <- append\_correct1);</pre>

[ record (rewrite cycle\_get)

| record (rewrite cycle\_length)]; record (auto).

- record (intros); record (etransitivity);

[ record (apply append\_correct2)

| record (rewrite cycle\_length); record (auto)].

Qed.

```
c : ascii
s : string
n. i : nat
H : i < n - length s
 (1/1)
get i (cycle (n - length s) c ++ s) = Some c
rewrite <- append_correct1.
c : ascii
s : string
n, i : nat
H : i < n - length s
 get i (cycle (n - length s) c) = Some c
i < length (cycle (n - length s) c)
```

```
[ascii]
[string]
[nat]
H : i < n - length s
get i (cycle (n - length s) c ++ s) = Some c
rewrite <- append_correct1.
「asciil
[string]
[nat]
H : i < n - length s
(1/2)
get i (cvcle (n - length s) c) = Some c
i < length (cycle (n - length s) c)
```

```
[ascii]
[string]
[nat]
[le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length, length-s]
   (1/1)
[eq-append, eq-Some, append-get, append-s, get-i, get-cycle, get-c, ...]
rewrite <- append_correct1.
「asciil
[string]
[nat]
[le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length, length-s]
[ea-get, ea-Some, get-i, get-cvcle, cvcle-minus, minus-n, minus-length, ...]
[le-i, le-length, length-cycle, length-c, cycle-minus, cycle-n, ...]
```

```
[ascii, string, nat,
le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length, length-s, ...,
eq-append, eq-Some, append-get, append-s, get-i, get-cycle, get-c, ...]
rewrite <- append_correct1.</pre>
```

```
[ascii, string, nat,
le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length, length-s,
eq-get, eq-Some, get-i, get-cycle, cycle-minus, minus-n, minus-length, ...,
le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length, length-s]
```

intros	[eq-minus, minus-length, minus-max, max-x, max-y, length-t,] [nat_bool_plus-n_plus-length_length-k_eq-plus_eq-n]
:	
rewrite sub_diag rewrite sub_diag	[nat, eq-minus, eq-zero, minus-n, minus-n] [nat, list, eq-minus, eq-zero, minus-length, minus-length,]
rewrite append_correct1	[ascii, string, nat, le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length,] :

? | [string, nat, eq-plus, eq-n, plus-length, plus-get, ...]

intros intros	[eq-minus, minus-length, minus-max, max-x, max-y, length-t,] [nat, bool, plus-n, plus-length, length-k, eq-plus, eq-n]
rewrite sub_diag	[nat, eq-minus, eq-zero, minus-n, minus-n]
rewrite sub_diag	[nat, list, eq-minus, eq-zero, minus-length, minus-length,]
ewrite append_correct1	[ascii, string, nat, le-i, le-minus, minus-n, minus-length,]

r

Metric : 
$$d(v_1, v_2) = \sum_{f \in v_1 \cap v_2} \log \frac{|D|}{|\{v \in D \mid f \in v\}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Metric}: d(v_1, v_2) = \sum_{f \in v_1 \cap v_2} \log \frac{|D|}{|\{v \in D \mid f \in v\}} \\ \text{Jaccard}: d(v_1, v_2) = \frac{|v_1 \cap v_2|}{|v_1 \cup v_2|} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Metric}: d(v_1, v_2) = \sum_{f \in v_1 \cap v_2} \log \frac{|D|}{|\{v \in D \mid f \in v\}} \\ \text{Jaccard}: d(v_1, v_2) = \frac{|v_1 \cap v_2|}{|v_1 \cup v_2|} \\ \text{Cosine}: d(v_1, v_2) = \frac{|v_1 \cap v_2}{\sqrt{|v_1||v_2|}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Metric} : d(v_1, v_2) &= \sum_{f \in v_1 \cap v_2} \log \frac{|D|}{|\{v \in D \mid f \in v\}} \\ \text{Jaccard} : d(v_1, v_2) &= \frac{|v_1 \cap v_2|}{|v_1 \cup v_2|} \\ \text{Cosine} : d(v_1, v_2) &= \frac{|v_1 \cap v_2}{\sqrt{|v_1||v_2|}} \\ \text{Euclid} : d(v_1, v_2) &= |v_1 \cup v_2 - v_1 \cap v_2| \end{aligned}$$

### Evaluation on Coq Standard Library: 144115 recorded pairs

Evaluation on Cog Standard Library: 144115 recorded pairs 0.7 theoretical best percentage 0.0 7 7 euclid cumulative 5.0 cumulative 1.0 cumulative jaccard tfidf-jaccard cosine — linear tfidf-jaccard random  $\left( \right)$ 25  $\left( \right)$ 10 15 20 30 k-nearest neighbors

#### **Proof Search**



**Proof Search** 



#### Skewed Breadth First Search

Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  be an ordered list of predicted tactics for goal g. Subtree  $t_i$  is always explored one step deeper than subtree  $t_{i+1}$ .

#### Evaluation on Coq Standard Library

10778 lemmas, 2099 proved

19.5% Proved

#### Possible improvements

- ▷ Monte Carlo Tree Search
- ▷ Better Tactic Decomposition
- ▷ Better Feature Engineering
- ▷ Tactic Argument Prediction

▷ ...

# ?

▷ What did I do wrong
▷ What can I improve
▷ Innovative ideas?